UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

l	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/658,302	09/09/2003	William Shelmon	TTC-13002/08	2137
	63796 GIFFORD. KR			EXAM	INER
	ANDERSON &	10/658,302 09/09/2003	— ;	LARSON, JUSTIN MATTHEW	
		=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			3782	
L	SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	· MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
	3 MO	SHTM	01/19/2007	PAP	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	1	1		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/658,302	SHELMON ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Justin M. Larson	3782		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status '				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 N	ovember 2006.	•		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r alaatian raquiramant	·		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 March 2006</u> is/are:		•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
	anniner. Note the attached Office	, Action of form 1 10-132.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority document2. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •			
application from the International Bureau	•	ou in time realisms. Stage		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	ed.		
Attachment(s)		•		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Art Unit: 3782

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings were received on 3/2/06. These drawings are not approved because Figure 7 contains New Matter. Figure 7 shows specific locations of the container holder within the vehicle that were not specifically mentioned in the originally filed disclosure.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 5, "recessed portion" should be "recessed opening". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schlesener (DE 10101642 A1).

Regarding claims 1 and 6, Schlesener discloses a collapsible container holder assembly, the container holder comprising: a carrier portion (5) having at least one recess opening formed therein, said at least one recessed opening extending between a top end and an opposite bottom end of said carrier portion; at least one container receptor portion (3) having a generally horizontal support surface (2), said receptor portion being adapted to telescopically engage and be retained within the at least one

Application/Control Number: 10/658,302

Art Unit: 3782

opening formed in the carrier portion and wherein the at least one container receptor portion is adjustable between an extended and collapsed position relative to the carrier portion, said receptor portion protruding axially and downwardly relative to said bottom end while in said extended position such that said support surface is substantially below said bottom end. The initial statement of intended use and all other functional implications have been carefully considered but are deemed not to impose any patentably distinguishing structure over that disclosed by Schlesener which is capable of being used in the intended manner, i.e., the holder assembly being used in a vehicle to carry a container where the carrier portion is mountable to a plurality of complementary mounting positions within the vehicle. There is no structure in Schlesener that would prohibit such functional intended use (see MPEP 2111). The carrier portion of Schlesener is capable of being mounted within a vehicle. Examiner places emphasis on the fact that the carrier of Schlesener is capable of being mounted to a plurality of complementary mounting positions within a vehicle, even if one determines that Schlesener does not disclose such positions.

Regarding claims 2 and 9, the carrier portion (5) of Schlesener includes at least one retaining member (sidewall) adapted to engage at least one complementary mounting point at the vehicle. It has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138. The sidewall of the carrier portion is capable of engaging a complementary mounting point in a vehicle.

Art Unit: 3782

Regarding claims 3 and 7, Schlesener discloses a locking mechanism (3a/4b) for locking the at least one container receptor portion in the extended position.

Regarding claims 4 and 8, the locking mechanism is locked by rotating the at least one container receptor portion in a first direction while extending and unlocked by rotating the at least one container receptor portion in an opposite direction.

Regarding claim 5, Schlesener discloses at least one retaining arm (3a) disposed on an exterior surface of the at least one container receptor portion, the at least one retaining arm operative to prevent the at least one container receptor portion from being pushed out from the carrier portion when the at least one container receptor is moved to the collapsed position

Response to Arguments/Amendments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 11/7/06 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Examiner notes that Applicant failed to address the Drawings section of the previous Office Action, in particular, the New Matter issue with respect to Figure 7.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited art includes various container holders with height or depth adjustability.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin M. Larson whose telephone number is (571) 272-8649. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Thursday, 7am 5pm.

Art Unit: 3782

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Newhouse can be reached on (571) 272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JML 12/29/06

ANTHONY D. STASHICK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 27/10